

**O'Donnell, Mary Beth**

---

**From:** NoReply@Clark.Wa.Gov  
**Sent:** Monday, November 10, 2014 3:42 PM  
**To:** Cnty 2016 Comp Plan  
**Subject:** 2016 Comp Plan comments submitted

\* 7 2 2 5 8 2 \*  
CP16#0430

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

Following comments were submitted online:

**Parcel No:**

**Subject:** U-H and U-R overlays

**Comments:**

Many parcels zoned UR and UH have been in that condition nearly 20 years. In these situations, and there are many, the county is using the overlay designations not as a planning tool, but rather a land use zone. This is against GMA. The county needs to provide relief to those many land owners and remove the overlays. The rural parcel sizes should all be examined. The rural parcels should go down to 1-2.5, and 5 acre lots. Properties south of NE 219th shouldn't be larger than 2.5 acres. Predominant parcel sizes should be examined throughout Clark County....use this as a standard and apply this to all resource and rural lands.

**Submitted by:**  
susan rasmussen

**Email:** [sprazz@outlook.com](mailto:sprazz@outlook.com)

**Address:**

Ridgefield, WA